Objective: The aim of this study was to translate the Chronic Otitis Media Questionnaire–12 (COMQ-12) into Turkish, evaluate the internal consistency of the test and test-retest reliability, and validate the adaptation for further use in Turkish studies.

Methods: A total of 50 healthy subjects and 50 patients with chronic otitis media (COM) have completed a translated Turkish version of the COMQ-12. Healthy subjects were asked to complete the test twice. A statistical analysis was performed to evaluate the validity and test-retest reliability of the questionnaire. Patients were divided into three groups. Group 1 were patients with COM; Group 2 represented the first test of the control group; and Group 3 represented the second test of the control group. Cronbach’s test was performed to test internal consistency, and Spearman’s test was performed to evaluate test-retest validity.

Results: The average score was 30.64 for Group 1, 3.60 for Group 2, and 3.66 for Group 3. The COMQ-12 score of the patient group was significantly higher than the score of the control group (p<0.001). The area under the receiver operating characteristics curve value was calculated as 0.992, which showed a strong diagnostic accuracy, and the cut-off point was defined as 9. A Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.810 was found. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient value (Spearman’s rho) was calculated as 0.920.

Conclusion: The Turkish adaptation of the COMQ-12 is a consistent and valid test with high sensitivity and specificity that can be used in Turkish for further studies instead of the original questionnaire.
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Introduction

Chronic otitis media (COM) is a common disease that affects approximately 2% of the population worldwide (1). However, the prevalence of the disease may range from <1% in high-income countries to up to 4% in developing countries (2). COM may profoundly affect the quality of life of patients due to symptoms such as chronic ear pain, malodorous ear drainage, hearing loss, and vertigo. Furthermore, it might cause serious complications such as meningitis, brain abscess, and total hearing loss; therefore, customized treatment of this disease in patients is vital.

Measuring the Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) scores of patients using the Patient-Reported Outcome Measures is an increasingly used method for clinical evaluation and personalized treatment choice (3, 4). The Chronic Otitis Media Questionnaire–12 (COMQ-12) was developed by Phillips et al. (5) who used three older HROoQL questionnaires developed for COM: Chronic Ear Survey (1), Chronic Otitis Media Outcome Test–15 (6), and Chronic Otitis Media–5 (7) questionnaires.

The aim of this study was to translate the COMQ-12 questionnaire into Turkish, evaluate the internal consistency of the test, test-retest reliability, and validate the adaptation for further use in studies in Turkish.

Methods

The Ethics Committee Approval for the study was taken from the Istanbul University School of Medicine.
The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform the statistical analysis. A p<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Six different tests were used:

1) The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to evaluate the normality of the data distribution of the investigated groups and subgroups.

2) The interpretation of the validity of the questionnaire was tested by comparing the overall scores of the healthy (Group 2) and patient (Group 1) groups using the non-parametric independent-samples Mann–Whitney U test.

3) The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to evaluate the difference between the overall scores of the Group 1 subgroups.

4) The diagnostic value of the COMQ-12 was assessed using a receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve. A ROC curve was drawn and the area under the ROC curve ($A_{ROC}$) was calculated. The cut-off score was chosen for the diagnosis of COM according to the best specificity and sensitivity values.

5) Cronbach’s alpha was calculated to evaluate the inter-item correlation and the internal consistency of the questionnaire in the patient group (Group 1).

6) Finally, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient value (Spearman’s rho) was measured to show the test-retest reliability. Correlation coefficients varied between 0 (no reliability) and 1 (perfect reliability). In general, a value of >0.7 is adopted as acceptable reliability in the literature (8).

7) The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was obtained using the average measures, absolute agreement two-way mixed effects model to show the agreement between the repeated measurements.

**Results**

Fifty patients (28 females, 22 males) with COM were included in the study. The average age±standard deviation was 39.3±12.7 years (range: 18–64), and the average score for the COMQ-12 test was 30.6±11.8. Detailed patient information is listed in Table 1 and Figure 1.

While 27 patients had dry tympanic membrane perforations (TMP), 10 of them had draining ear, and 13 had visible cholesteatoma accompanying the TMP at the time of completing the survey. From this point on, we will discuss the results for three groups separately.

Out of 27 patients with dry TMP, 16 of the patients were female and 11 were male. Their average age was 39.1 years (range:
The overall average score for COMQ-12 was 28.3±12.2 (range: 8–51) (Table 2).

Out of 10 patients with an active drainage TMP, 6 were female and 4 were male. Their average age was 41.9 years (range: 22–62). The overall average score for COMQ-12 was 35.5±13.6 (range: 8–55) (Table 2).

Out of 13 patients with TMP with visible cholesteatoma, 6 were female and 7 were male. Their average age was 37.7 years (range: 18–64). The overall average score for COMQ-12 was 31.7±8.4 (range: 15–45) (Table 2).

The control group consisted of 50 subjects (27 females, 23 males), and the average age of the group was 36.6±13.2 years (range: 20–63). As explained in detail in the Methods section, each subject in the control group completed the questionnaire two times with one week of interval. The overall average results for the first test and the retest were 3.60 and 3.66, respectively. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to evaluate the normality of the data. In the patient group, the p-value for the overall scores was 0.482, and the p-value for age was 0.166. Since both of the values were >0.05, the distribution of the patient group was evaluated as normal. In Groups 2 and 3, the p-values for the overall scores and the age were <0.05, therefore, the distribution was not evaluated as normal. Because the distribution was abnormal in Groups 2 and 3, non-parametric statistical tests were used for analysis.

The Spearman's rank correlation coefficient value (Spearman's rho) was calculated as 0.920. The correlation of the test is significant.

As mentioned above, we divided Group 1 into three different subgroups: dry TMP, draining ear, and TMP with visible cholesteatoma. The normality of the data of these subgroups was also checked with the Shapiro-Wilk test. All subgroup data were found normal (p=0.944, 0.584, and 0.501, respectively). Therefore, the one-way ANOVA test comes forth as the only parametric test used in our study.

Independent-samples Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the overall scores of Group 1 and Group 2. The COMQ-12 score of the patient group was significantly higher than the control group (p<0.001).

The one-way ANOVA test showed that there was no significant difference between the overall scores of the subgroups of the Group 1 (p=0.245).

A ROC curve was created to show the diagnostic characteristics of the COMQ-12 questionnaire. Overall scores were determined as test variable, and groups (Group 1 and Group 2) as state variable. The Aroc value was calculated as 0.992, which stated the strong diagnostic accuracy of the COMQ-12 questionnaire, as well as its ability to differentiate the COM from the normal ear (Figure 2). Using the ROC curve, a cut-off point of 9 was defined to distinguish COM from healthy ear achieving the best-balanced sensitivity and specificity values (0.96 and 0.92, respectively).

Cronbach's alpha test was performed in the patient group. An alpha value of 0.810 was found. The score was higher than 0.70 and close to 1, thus it can be stated that the inter-item correlation and the internal consistency of the questionnaire is high (9).
significant at 0.01 level. This shows that the test and retest are correlated, and the test-retest reliability is safe.

The average measured ICC was 0.918 (with a 95% confidence interval ranging from 0.856 to 0.954), which means a “good” to an “excellent” level of test-retest reliability (10).

Discussion

Patient-based evaluation of the symptoms and targeted treatment based on the patient’s symptoms are getting more significant every day (3); thereby, questionnaires that evaluate symptoms and quality of life are getting more important. The COMQ-12 is a newly created questionnaire that has combined three older tests that evaluate patients with COM. To date, the COMQ-12 has been translated into Dutch (11), the Kannada (12) language, and Portuguese (13).

The patient group had an overall average score of 30.64±11.76 (range: 8–55), which is similar to the results reported by Fonseca et al. (13) (mean: 29). The mean total scores for the control groups ranged from 0 to 14 for both Group 2 and Group 3 with an average of 3.60 and 3.66, respectively. Because of the high scores observed in the control group, we investigated the symptoms and questions that have led to this unexpected result. Most
of the high results come from the high scores of the question about either hearing loss or tinnitus. Similar results have also been indicated by van Dinther et al. (11) in the Dutch adaptation and validation of the COMQ-12.

In our study, our secondary gain was to evaluate if there was a significant difference between the subgroups of COM patients by using COMQ-12 scores. Although the mean value of the patients with dry TMP (28.3) was slightly lower than with visible cholesteatoma (31.7) and draining ear (35.5), there was no statistically significant difference. Therefore, we cannot suggest the use of COMQ-12 to discriminate between the forms of COM. However, further studies with more subjects should be designed to evaluate the strength of the COMQ-12 in discriminating between the COM subgroups.

After the ROC curve analysis and the calculation of the area under the curve, the cut-off value was set as 9, with a sensitivity of 0.96 and a specificity of 0.92. Although the cut-off limit is higher in our study than the cut-off limits set by Philippis et al. (14) and in the Dutch version (11), the sensitivity and the specificity of the value are higher.

Studies on the Dutch (11) and the Serbian (15) versions measured the ICC to show test-retest reliability. Their ICC values were 0.859 and 0.985, respectively. Like the other versions, the test-retest reliability was acceptable based on the Spearman’s rho value of 0.920 in our study.

Furthermore, the Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.810 was found for the test. The result is similar to the previous results from the original English study (0.889) (5), the Serbian version (0.84) (15), and the Portuguese (0.85) (13) version. Thus, the inter-item correlation and internal consistency of the questionnaire are high.

The COMQ-12 is a newly developed HRQoL questionnaire for the assessment of active COM, and a valid test that can be used in both preoperative and postoperative evaluations of patients. The cut-off limits and high sensitivity and specificity of the test presented by the previous studies, as well as in our study, show that the questionnaire can be used as a valuable tool in the evaluation period of patients with COM.

Conclusion

The Turkish adaptation of the COMQ-12 is a consistent and valid test with high sensitivity and specificity that can be used in further studies.
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Appendix 1.

**Chronic Otitis Media Questionnaire - 12 (COMQ-12)**

Authors: Phillips J, Yung M, Haggard M

These questions are to find out how badly your ear problems affect you. No machine can do this: only you can tell us. We expect the results from this questionnaire to help us understand which of your ear symptoms is the most important to you. Knowing this will help us improve the ways patients with ear problems are looked after.

Please answer the questions below by considering carefully each question asked, and then ringing the appropriate number; the numbers each refer to a particular description. There are no right or wrong answers, but please try to think carefully about each question before ringing the appropriate number. Please consider each problem as it has been over the past six months.

**EXAMPLE:**

*For the following question, please indicate how often you perform this activity using the scale below and by ringing the appropriate number:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>At least once every 3 months</td>
<td>At least once every month</td>
<td>At least once a week</td>
<td>Most days in the week</td>
<td>All the time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How often do you eat toast for breakfast?  

A person responding like this conveys (s)he usually has toast but not always
For the following questions, please indicate how severe the various elements described affect you, using the scale below and by ringing the appropriate number:

0  Doesn’t bother me at all  
1  A minor inconvenience  
2  A moderate inconvenience  
3  A major inconvenience but I can cope  
4  A major inconvenience and I am finding it hard to cope  
5  The worst thing that has ever affected my life

Symptom severity:

1. Discharge or drainage from the ear 0 1 2 3 4 5
2. Having a ‘smelly ear’ 0 1 2 3 4 5
3. Hearing problems at home, e.g. requiring the volume of the TV or Radio to be turned up. 0 1 2 3 4 5
4. Hearing problems when talking to people in groups or when there are noisy surroundings 0 1 2 3 4 5
5. Discomfort in and/or around the ear 0 1 2 3 4 5
6. Dizziness or feeling ‘off balance’ 0 1 2 3 4 5
7. Tinnitus or noises in the ear 0 1 2 3 4 5
For the following questions, please indicate how often the various elements described affect you using the scale below and by ringing the appropriate number:

0  Less frequent than once every 6 months
1  At least once every 6 months
2  At least once every 3 months
3  At least once every month
4  At least once a week
5  Most days in the week

Lifestyle and work impact:
How often have you NOT been able to:

8. Perform your normal daily activities at home / work? 0 1 2 3 4 5

9. Wash or shower or bathe as you would like to? i.e how often have you been fearful of these activities causing an ear infection? 0 1 2 3 4 5

Health service impact:

10. How often have you been to see your GP about your ear problems? 0 1 2 3 4 5

11. How often do you need to take medicines (including eardrops) for your ear problem? 0 1 2 3 4 5

For the following question, please indicate how bad things are, on a scale of ‘0’ to ‘5’. ‘0’ means not at all, and ‘5’ means the worst you can ever imagine:

General:

12. To what degree do your ear problems ‘get you down’? 0 1 2 3 4 5

Please check that you have produced an answer to every question and do ask for help if you find it hard.

- Thank you very much for taking part.